



# Future(s) Multidomain Battle

Targeting Hybrid Threats

## Future(s) of Multidomain Battle

Targeting Hybrid Threats Understanding Gender Bias

Joint Force Quarterly

Published on 24/04/2018

Expériences alliées

**Just as Clausewitz wrote, the nature of war hasn't changed much.**

Proposed translation at the end of the article ...

As a gift for a significant approaching birthday, my golf widow gave me a new set of clubs that were the result of a 5-hour custom fitting at a local professional shop. For years I wrestled with the same issue: how to balance the expense of new equipment with my desire to get lower scores. I am now precisely aware of how average my swing and its results are using technology such as radar ball flight and swing tracking. And I now have the best available tech that is custom-fit to my game. The field results are not yet in, but the data from the fitting was clear that I should expect to achieve better results on the course in the coming year. As a longtime student of military operations, acquisition to support military operations, and the strategies developed for both, I found a number of similarities between my golf world and the military's current issues regarding operations, strategy, and policy, especially as the Defense Department nears breaking the \$700 billion mark in annual budgets.

Just as Clausewitz wrote, the nature of war hasn't changed much. It still has political and human dimensions, is a contest of wills, and exists in a world of uncertainty. How one's military meets the challenge of war is dependent on a number of factors, including available funds, the scope of available technology in either the present or the near future, and the physical and mental fitness of the people engaged in combat. Today's military is faced with a range of challenges, which it may or may not be fully prepared to meet. War exacts a toll over time unlike any other human experience. And meeting the demands of combat takes more than one individual's effort, budget, and ideas to succeed.

With the range of challenges the Chairman has identified, including all the other burdens of service on the joint force, we might ask ourselves, "What should we do to prepare for the future while winning the fights we are currently engaged in? The joint force has to adapt, adjust, acquire, repurpose, retrain, recruit, and perform a whole range of other functions to continue to meet the mission of protecting our Nation, allies, and partners around the world.

In some cases that means new programs and new technology, while in others it requires modifications to existing systems, training, tactics, and procedures. Another challenge we need to consider is how to handle the process of handing down valuable experience as Servicemembers constantly enter and leave the force. Many questions remain to be answered on how best to accomplish these critical tasks. Hopefully, this issue of JFQ will provide you with some insights to that end.

In our Forum section, we focus on the strategic level of war with four valuable contributions by well-known JFQ authors and new voices. Currently serving as a special assistant to the Secretary of Defense, our very own Frank Hoffman maps a number of futures our joint force may have to confront and what should be done about them. As the idea of multidomain battle gathers momentum across the joint force, Kevin M. Woods and JFQ Editorial Board member Thomas C. Greenwood discuss how joint experimentation can greatly improve our fighting effectiveness. Stressing the value of partnership, Thomas Warren Ross returns to JFQ to discuss how security cooperation and logistics combine to create success in our efforts to help our friends around the world.

These days it seems the Internet has taken over our lives, so you may find what William D. Bryant has to say about warfighting in cyberspace essential to your future success. The JPME Today section features both a JFQ-veteran voice and a new one, each with important considerations. From the U.S. Naval War College, Milan Vego has been writing for a long time on operational art, strategic thinking, and the history of warfare. I think you will find his latest article on American military decisionmaking to be another useful discussion on how our senior leaders develop plans and execute joint operations.

After having spent a considerable amount of research and development of her thinking, Elizabeth M. Trobaugh continues our discussion of the ongoing integration of women into combat roles in our military. While her work will not be the final word on this issue, I think she will help all of us understand how far we have to go to level the playing field in a way that improves the effectiveness of the joint force. In Commentary, General David G. Perkins, commander of the U.S. Army's Training and Doctrine Command, and General James M. Holmes, commander of the U.S. Air Force's Air Combat Command, discuss how Service concepts have been combined to create a joint solution to the multidomain battle the force now faces. Suggesting the U.S. military needs bring its game into the 21st century, Steve F. Kime offers his ideas on how military doctrine should be updated. And Richard B. Davenport advocates for a new force structure to advance our joint psychological warfare capabilities.

Chance A. Smith and Steve W. Rust begin our Features section with an interesting set of ideas on how our geographic combatant commands can update their targeting methods to better confront hybrid threats. Seeing a need to simplify our approaches, Gabriel

Almodovar, Daniel P. Allmacher, Morgan P. Ames III, and Chad Davies offer us a different way to look at our integrated air and missile defenses. Helping us operate in a world where the joint force's every move might be available to stream on the Internet, Adam G. Lenfestey, Nathan Rowan, James E. Fagan, and Corey H. Ruckdeschel suggest ways secrecy and surprise can still be achieved. Recent events in Africa underscore the need for the joint force to conduct a range of missions on the continent, and Andrus W. Chaney offers recommendations for achieving success in U.S. Africa Command's security cooperation efforts.

Our Recall section welcomes a team effort that takes us back a long time before the Goldwater-Nichols Act. Kenneth T. Klima, Peter Mazzella, and Patrick B. McLaughlin offer their views on joint planning and center of gravity analysis in the Second Punic War. We also offer three interesting book reviews along with an expanded Joint Doctrine section. If you read the article about centers of gravity in the Second Punic War, then you are ready for Jacob Barfoed's article on how to see them as more than just a part of winning tactically. I honestly had not heard from the Department of Defense Terminology Program until George E. Katsos sent us an article about this important effort.

Getting joint operations right is just the start of the effort needed to be successful in today's world, and Charles W. Robinson helps us see how to win when involved in multinational and coalition operations. Closing out the issue, the Joint Doctrine Update lists the latest developments in joint publications. The next edition of Joint Force Quarterly will feature a number of important articles from the Joint Staff and U.S. Central Command that continue to explore where the joint force is today and where we should be headed. Given the talent we have, I am certain we will find new ways to continue to improve and bring our A game to every place we go.

JFQ  
William T. Eliason Editor in Chief

**... click download button to see more**

## ----- TRADUCTION -----

As a gift for an important anniversary coming up, my golf widow gave me a new set of clubs that were the result of a 5-hour custom fit at a local pro shop. For years I struggled with the same problem: how to balance the expense of new equipment with my desire to achieve lower scores. I am now precisely aware of my average swing and its results thanks to technologies such as radar ball flight and swing tracking.

And I now have the best technology available that is suited to my game. The results on the court have not yet come in, but the fit data was clear: I should expect better results on the course in the coming year. As a long-time student of military operations, acquisition in support of military operations, and the strategies developed for both, I found that a number of similarities between my golfing world and current military operational,

strategic and policy issues, especially as the Department of Defence approaches the \$700 billion mark in annual budgets.

As Clausewitz wrote, the nature of war has not changed much. There are still political and human dimensions. It is a contest of wills that exists in a world of uncertainty. How the military responds to the challenge of war depends on a number of factors, including the funds available, the extent of technology available now or in the near future, and the physical and mental condition of those involved in the fight.

Today's military faces a range of challenges, which it may or may not be fully prepared to meet. War wreaks havoc over time, unlike any other human experience. And meeting the demands of combat requires more than the effort, budget and ideas of one person to succeed.

Given the range of challenges that the President has identified, including all the other burdens on the joint force, we might ask, "What should we do to prepare for the future while winning the battles we are currently engaged in?"

The joint force must adapt, adjust, acquire, redirect, retrain, recruit and perform a range of other functions to continue to fulfill the mission of protecting our nation, our allies and our partners around the world. In some cases, this means new programs and technologies, while in others it means changing existing systems, training, tactics and procedures. Another challenge we must consider is how to manage the process of transmitting valuable experience as military personnel are constantly moving in and out of the force.

Many questions remain unanswered about how best to accomplish these critical tasks. We hope this issue of JFQ will provide you with some insight to that end. In our Forum section, we focus on the strategic level of warfare with four valuable contributions from well-known JFQ authors and new voices. Currently, as Special Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, our own Frank Hoffman is mapping a number of futures that our joint force may face and what should be done about them. As the idea of a multi-domain battle is gaining momentum within the joint force, Kevin M. Woods and JFQ Editorial Board member Thomas C. Greenwood discuss how joint experimentation can greatly improve our combat effectiveness.

Emphasizing the value of partnership, Thomas Warren Ross returns to JFQ to discuss how security cooperation and logistics combine to create success in our efforts to help our friends around the world. These days, it seems the Internet has taken over our lives, so you can find out what William D. Bryant has to say about war in cyberspace essential to your future success. The JPME Today section features both a JFQ-veteran voice and a new one, each with important considerations.

From the U.S. Naval War College, Milan Vego has long written on operational art, strategic thinking and war history. I think you will find his latest article on U.S. military decision-making another useful discussion of how our senior leaders plan and execute joint operations. After spending a great deal of time researching and developing her thinking, Elizabeth M. Trobaugh continues our discussion on the continued integration of women

into combat roles in our armed forces.

While her work will not be the final word on this issue, I believe it will help all of us understand how far we need to go to level the playing field in order to improve the effectiveness of the joint force. In the commentary, General David G. Perkins, commander of the U.S. Army's Training and Doctrine Command, and General James M. Holmes, commander of the U.S. Army, said that the joint force is a "very important part of the effort. Air Force's Air Combat Command, discuss how service concepts have been combined to create a joint solution to the multi-domain battle the force now faces. Suggesting that U.S. military needs are bringing the United States into the 21st century, Steve F. Kime offers his ideas on how military doctrine should be updated. And Richard B. Davenport argues for a new force structure to advance our common psychological warfare capability.

Chance A. Smith and Steve W. Rust begin our Features section with an interesting set of ideas on how our geographic combat commands can update their targeting methods to better address hybrid threats. Seeing the need to simplify our approaches, Gabriel Almodovar, Daniel P. Allmacher, Morgan P. Ames III and Chad Davies offer a different way of looking at our integrated air and missile defences. Adam G. Lenfestey, Nathan Rowan, James E. Fagan and Corey H. Ruckdeschel help us operate in a world where all joint force movements can be streamed over the Internet.

Recent events in Africa underscore the need for the joint force to conduct a range of missions on the continent, and Andrus W. Chaney offers recommendations to ensure the success of U.S. Africa Command's cooperative security efforts. Our Reminder section looks forward to a team effort that takes us back well before the Goldwater-Nichols Act. Kenneth T. Klima, Peter Mazzella, and Patrick B. McLaughlin offer their views on joint planning and center-of-gravity analysis in the Second Punic War. We also offer three interesting book reviews and an expanded Joint Doctrine section. If you read the article on centres of gravity in the Second Punic War, you're ready for Jacob Barfoed's article on how to see them as more than a part of tactical victory.

Honestly, I hadn't heard of the Department of Defense terminology program until George E. Katsos sent us an article on this important effort. The success of joint operations is only the beginning of the effort needed to succeed in today's world, and Charles W. Robinson is helping us to see how we can win when we participate in multinational and coalition operations. To close this issue, the Joint Doctrine Update lists the latest developments in joint publications.

The next issue of Joint Force Quarterly will include a number of important articles from the Joint Staff and U.S. Central Command that continue to explore where the joint force is today and where we should be headed. Given the talent we have, I'm sure we'll find new ways to continue to improve and bring our A-game everywhere we go.

JFQ  
William T. Eliason Editor-in-Chief

**... Click on download to learn more**



**Title :** Joint Force Quarterly

**Author (s) :** Joint Force Quarterly

**Release date** 24/04/2018

[FIND OUT MORE](#)

[DOWNLOAD DOCUMENT](#)